

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,921	12/31/2002	Matthias Essenpreis	RDID0016US	8944 .
75	90 12/14/2005	EXAMINER		
Richard T Kna	nuer	DAWSON, GLENN K		
Roche Diagnost	tics Corporation			
9115 Hague Ro	-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PO Box 50457		3731		
Indianapolis, IN	N 46250-0457			

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/088,92	8,921 ESSENPREIS, ET		ΓAL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
			Glenn K. D	awson	3731				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	Idress			
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANSIONS OF THE MANSIONS OF THE MANSIONS OF THE MANSIONS OF THE MANSION OF THE MANSIO	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 unication. tutory period w will, by statute,	ATE OF TH 36(a). In no ever will apply and will cause the appli	S COMMUNICATION  nt, however, may a reply be tin  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>31 De</i>	ecember 20	02.					
2a)□									
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) acce	epted or b)[	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Ex	aminer. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P7	ΓO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	(i) +				•			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	see the attached detailed Office action	i ioi a iist t	or the certifi	ed copies not receive	su.				
Attachmen	t(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.12.02				6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/088,921

Art Unit: 3731

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 2-4, the particles are limited; however, it is not clear from these or from claim 1 that the particles are actually being positively recited as elements of the claimed device. Clarification and correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7,9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kwon-6207400.

Kwon discloses a device having a reservoir with particles which are accelerated to speeds of 100-3000 m/sec. A trigger would inherently be actuated to cause the fore necessary to fire the particles through the skin. A means to analyze the analyte and a means for promoting the efflux of fluid from the body are also included. See col. 2 lines 4-46; col. 5 lines 12-62; col 6 lines 30-49.

Art Unit: 3731

Claims 1-4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bellhouse, et al.-5630796.

Bellhouse discloses a device having a reservoir with particles which can be fired into skin at a speed of 200-2500 m/sec. The annular surface of the hood 36 would be the promoting means as it could be pressed against tissue in a region surrounding the region fired upon.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 3731

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kwon-'400 in view of Eppstein, et al.-5458140.

Kwon discloses the invention as claimed with the exception of the ultrasound. Eppstein discloses that it was known to use ultrasound to promote the extraction of fluids from the body. It would have been obvious to have provided Kwon's device with ultrasound in order to facilitate the extraction of the fluids from the body.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenn K. Dawson whose telephone number is 571-272-4694. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/088,921

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3731

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Glenn K Dawson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

Gkd

11 December 2005